**Project 2 Rubric**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Item** | **Points** | **Score** |
| Does the project you turned in compile? | 1 | 1 |
| Does the project compile without any warnings? | 2 | 2 |
| Are the required tasks all complete? |  |  |
| 1a. Lists current and past U.S. presidents | 2 | 2 |
| 1b. Lists current U.S. senators | 2 | 2 |
| 1c. Lists any other categories of leaders you feel are important | 2 | 2 |
| 1d. Shows profile page for leaders | 2 | 2 |
| 1e. Shows leader’s Wikipedia page in popover embedded web view | 2 | 2 |
| 1. User can switch between categories (1a/b/c scenes) | 2 | 2 |
| 1. Leader list cell includes image, name, summary data | 2 | 2 |
| 1. Profile page includes name, image, bio sketch, other pertinent fields | 2 | 2 |
| 1. Profile page links to 1e scene | 1 | 1 |
| 1. Leader data is parsed from JSON or XML embedded in app bundle | 2 | 2 |
| 1. A reasonable custom app icon is provided in all required sizes | 2 | 2 |
| Is the coding style consistent? Did the student use whitespace consistently (indenting consistently, dividing code sections consistently, using spaces between operators consistently, etc.)? Is the code sloppy or otherwise hard to read? Too many comments? Not enough comments? | 3 | 2 |
| Is the UI beautiful? Are elements neatly aligned, sized, and balanced? | 3 | 3 |
| Was the work done on time? (20% penalty per day or fraction of a day late) |  |  |
| Other excellent extras? (Explain.) | 0-3 | 0 |
| Other problematic aspects? (Explain.) |  | I felt like I didn’t really know where to put certain sections, so my code ended up repeating itself a decent amount. |
| **Total** | ≤ 30 | 29 |

Are there any questions in your mind about your solution? \_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No

If yes, write your specific questions on the back of this rubric. If it’s about a programming technique, it may help to attach a marked-up printout of the code in question.

Your score total must be 30 or less. You don’t need to do anything extra to get 30 points. If you did something extra that is nice, it might compensate for flaws elsewhere. If you specify extra points, it needs to be for something that really is “excellent”, not just interesting.

**Evaluate your Project 2 experience (could be rose/bud/thorn or just a paragraph or two telling me how it went for you):**

It went very well. I spent more time in data preparation that I had done before, but that was ok. That’s basically how it would be in the real world. I felt like the tutorials gave a good outline of what needed to be done/how to do it. However, I didn’t really feel like I knew where all my code needed to go. Overall, it was fun.